## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Office Action of February 26, 2008 issued in relation to the present Patent Application, the Applicants submit the accompanying Terminal Disclaimers, Amendments to the claims, and the below Remarks.

Claims 1, 6, 7, and 19-25 are presented for examination. Claim 1 is an independent claim

### Regarding Specification and Claim objections

With regards to the objection to the language and format of the Abstract of the specification, the abstract has been amended to place the same in a suitable form.

With regards to the informality objection, the "Cross-reference to Co-pending Applications" section has been amended to replace the attorney docket numbers cited therein with corresponding US Application or Patent numbers.

With regards to the informality objection to Claim 3, Claim 3 has been cancelled from the application.

## Regarding Double Patenting Rejections

With respect to the Examiner's non-statutory double patenting rejections over US Patent No. 7,111,935 and US Application Nos. 10/760,213, 10/760,219, 10/760,252, 11/503,083, 10/760,237, and 10/760,220, the Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(e), the present application and US Patent No. 7,111,935 and US Application Nos. 10/760,213, 10/760,219, 10/760,252, 11/503,083, 10/760,237, and 10/760,220 being commonly owned.

#### Regarding 35 USC 102 Rejection

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Silverbrook (US 2002/0183088).

Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled from the application.

Claim 1 has been amended to define the printer as including two confronting spacedapart print head assemblies. US 2002/0183088 does not teach a printer having two confronting spaced-apart print head assemblies. Appln No. Amdt. Dated: May 21, 2008 Response to Office Action of February 26, 2008

10

Accordingly, Claim 1 is allowable over US 2002/0183088. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7 and 19 are dependent on allowable claim 1, and are allowable for at least that reason.

## Regarding 35 USC 103 Rejections

Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (US 2002/0183088) in view of Silverbrook (US 6,362,868) and Silverbrook (US 2002/0093569).

Claims 21-25 are dependent upon allowable independent claim 1. It is submitted that claims 21-25 are allowable for at least that reason.

# CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:

Kia Silverbrook

Tobin Allen King

C/o:

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:

kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633 Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762